

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,796	07/31/2003	Kenji Shimizu	Q71412	9751
23373	7590 05/06/2005		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			RICKMAN, HOLLY C	
SUITE 800	SYLVANIA AVENUE	, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20037		1773	

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				· ·			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/630,796	SHIMIZU ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Holly Rickman	1773				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sh	et with the correspondence add	Iress			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replet of the provided provided above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, y within the statutory minimur will apply and will expire SIX (may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this corone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 M	larch 2005.	•				
'—	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)□	,—						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-2,4-14 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objector drawing(s) be held in a ion is required if the dr	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). awing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFI	• •			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	• •	 □	nday Command (DTO 440)				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Pap	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO- er:	-152)			

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

1. During an interview with Sheldon Landsman on January 21, 2005, the examiner indicated that the last office action should not have been made final. Thus, the finality of the last Office action is withdrawn.

A new reference has been discovered in an updated search of the prior art. Thus, the allowability of the claims as set forth in the last Office action is withdrawn.

Claim Interpretation

2. With respect to claim 14, the limitation directed to "an amorphous initial growth portion" having a thickness "equal to or less than 1nm" has been interpreted to mean that an initial growth portion *must* be present. Thus, one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the recitation of a thickness "equal to or less than 1nm" necessarily *excludes a thickness of 0 nm*. It is suggested that Applicant amend the claims to reflect this interpretation in order to more precisely define the scope of the claimed invention.

This interpretation does not apply to claim 9, however. This claim does not positively recite the presence of the initial growth portion as set forth in claim 14. Thus, claim 9 has been interpreted to include a thickness of 0 nm (i.e., no initial growth portion is present).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1773

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 1 and 6-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Futamoto et al. (US 6686070).

Futamoto et al. disclose a magnetic recording medium having a substrate, a first soft magnetic layer, a second soft magnetic layer formed from a CoW containing alloy corresponding to the claimed "orientation control" layer, a first CoCr based magnetic layer corresponding to the claimed "intermediate" layer deposited directly in contact with the "orientation control" layer, a CoCr based perpendicular recording layer, and a protective layer (see Table 2 at the top of columns 13-14; Fig 1). The reference also teaches an apparatus having a single pole magnetic head for use with a recording medium as described above (col. 2, lines 15-30).

With respect to claim 14, it is noted that the present specification describes the initial growth portion as an area of disordered or amorphous growth. This area of disordered growth can be detected because crystal grains do not appear in a TEM image of the layer. The examiner maintains that the amorphous soft magnetic layer taught by Futamoto et al which corresponds to the claimed orientation control layer satisfies this claim limitation because the layer is formed from an amorphous material. Thus, it necessarily includes an amorphous "initial growth portion."

Art Unit: 1773

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The rejection of claims 13-14 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tomiyasu et al. (US 6670055) in view of Do et al. (US 6537638) is withdrawn.
- 7. Claims 2 and 4-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Futamoto et al. (US 6686070).

Futamoto et al. disclose all of the limitations of the claims as detailed above, except for a thickness of the orientation film within the range of 0.5-20 nm, the claimed saturation magnetization value of the orientation control layer and the claimed amount of Co.

Futamoto et al. teaches that the thickness and saturation magnetization of the soft magnetic layer corresponding to the claimed orientation control layer are result effective parameters that affect recording efficiency and areal recording density (see col. 16, lines 28-48). As such, it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to determine the optimal values for thickness and saturation magnetization of the orientation control/soft magnetic layer taught by Futamoto et al. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to adjust the Co concentration of the soft magnetic layers in order to achieve the desired level of saturation magnetization. Co is a

Art Unit: 1773

magnetic element as is known in the art and therefore, the amount of Co in a given Co alloy affects saturation magnetization.

It has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Response to Arguments

- 8. Applicant's arguments have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Rickman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1514. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571) 272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Holly Rickman Primary Examiner Art Unit 1773

Holly Uh

March 28, 2005